

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,632	08/30/2001		Kevin Reid Imes	KRIMES.0002	9679
Kevin R. Imes	7590	04/04/2007		EXAMINER	
7309 Tanaqua Lane				AGGARWAL, YOGESH K	, YOGESH K
Austin, TX 787	739			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2622	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				04/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/943,632	IMES, KEVIN REID		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2622		

	Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more of the final rejection.	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	•		_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet.		tongition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	r 10/35/06) Paper No(s)		
		LING	

DAVID OMETZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 09/943,632

Art Unit: 2622

Examiner's response:

- 1. Applicant argues with regards to claim 2 that Parulski fails to teach "the processor operable to process the digital image using the process characteristic associated with the destination". The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Parulski clearly explains in col. 3 lines 25-44, the images are stored in the camera memory 32 or memory card 36. The user reviews these images on camera LCD 24 by scrolling. The user selects any of the services listed in figure 2 e.g. printing, e-mailing, selecting a creative background or adding text (See figures 2 and 3). Col. 3 lines 5-58, figures 2 and 3 teach that the user either on the camera or via a host computer adds a creative background to the image. By adding a creative background, the size of the image is increased (See figure 3, final image after adding a creative background has larger size than the image itself) and is stored in the memory in a utilization file. Parulski further teaches at col. 3 lines 60-64 that this utilization file is taken to a destination (print service provider) where the image processed according to a process (creative background added to the image in the camera) associated with a destination is printed at the destination. Therefore the image is processed at the camera according to a process characteristic associated with the destination.
- 2. Finally, as shown in figure 3 of the applicant's specification, a process reference involves an Email address being attached to the image. Parulski also attaches network addresses of friends e.g. e-mail addresses before communicating the images to a destination (col. 3 lines 29-34, lines 60-64). Therefore as claimed "the processor operable to process the digital image using the process characteristic associated with the destination", by attaching an E-mail address to the images, Parulski meets the claim limitations. The independent claim does not recite what is meant by "process characteristic". Therefore as broadly as claimed the process characteristic

Application/Control Number: 09/943,632

Art Unit: 2622

associated with a destination can be any process including e-mailing, printing. In other words, adding an E-mailing address to the digital image would also be read as process reference characteristic associated with a destination. Further limitation/clarification of "process reference" would be required for reconsideration.

Page 3

3. Applicant argues that by merely disclosing the ""...be associated, in whole or in part, with a software routine operable to be employed by the device illustrated in FIGURE 1, the network and/or devices illustrated in FIGURE 2, or other systems,..." the process associated in figure 2 or 3 would be performed in the camera. The Examiner disagrees. If this paragraph is conclusive enough for showing the whole process on the camera, then figure 9 (which was restricted and not elected) describing a whole process on the digital camera (without communicating the images to a destination) would not be necessary. The method shown in figure 3 involves communicating the images and then the images after processed at the destination.